



April 26, 2021

Mr. Paul Simonton
Simonton Engineering
1050 Parkside Commons, Suite 101
Greensboro, GA 30642

RE: Responses to your questions in regard to Flemington General Engineering Service RFQ 21-001

Dear Mr. Simonton:

Please see the attached answers to your questions we received on April 25th in regard to the City of Flemington's General Engineering Service RFQ 21-001. Our answers to your questions are shown in red print beneath each question from you. This response is being sent to all known potential offerors and will also be published on the LCPC website (www.thelcpc.org) and the City of Flemington website (www.cityofflemington.org).

Please let me know if you have further questions regarding RFQ 21-001.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jeff Ricketson", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jeff Ricketson, AICP
Executive Director

Attachment

Cc: Mr. Marcus Sack, M.E. Sack Engineering
Mr. Trent Long, T.R. Long Engineering



Jeff,

One of the scoring criteria in the evaluation section is Compliance with the RFQ so we want to make sure our presentation mimics the request.

Section III of the RFQ “Response to Scope of Work Required” includes the Scope of the General Engineering in the RFQ so we would think our approach to the Scope would be included in tabbed Section III portion of the RFQ. Section V Required Forms , Exhibit A , “Scope of Service and/or Contractor Proposal” seems to include the same information? Should we duplicate the information in both locations or merely type “ See Section III” on Exhibit A?

A: In Exhibit A, you may reference duplicate information back to Item 4.2(A) Section III.

Same question for Section B of the RFQ which is the Cost Qualifications, then also required in Exhibit B of the Required Forms. The only difference would be W-9. Is it acceptable to reference one to the other in the RFQ response.

A: In Exhibit A, you may reference duplicate information back to Item 4.2(B).

Based on prior direction insurance certificate is required only after the award (as directed in Exhibit H) and is not included in the RFQ response. This correct?

A: Yes. Insurance certificate is required only after award.

Exhibit E is spread over two pages in the pdf, page 31 and 32, Are we allowed to combining these two pages to one?

A: Yes.

Exhibit G does not include any signature or attest block for acknowledgement. Should a signature block be added or should the form just be included with no signature?

A: Yes. Add a signature block identical to the signature block in Exhibit D.

Sorry if this seems a little picky, but we want to make sure our response complies with the directions in the RFQ.

Paul C. Simonton, P.E.



Hinesville & Greensboro, GA

(912) 977-1502 (706) 454-0870

paul@simontoneng.com